

18



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SK

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/174,804	10/19/98	MORROW	D WARR-0127-R

QM12/0823

JOHN S. ARTZ
ARTZ & ARTZ P.C.
28333 TELEGRAPH RD., SUITE 250
SOUTHFIELD MI 48034

EXAMINER

CHAMBERS, M

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3711

18

DATE MAILED:

08/23/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Interview Summary

Application No.
09/174,804

Applicant(s)

Morrow et al

Examiner

M. Chambers

Group Art Unit
3711



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) M. Chambers

(3) J. Artz

(2) J. Chapman

(4) _____

Date of Interview Aug 22, 2000

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: 42-45 and 58-74

Identification of prior art discussed:

5035434 Taylor et al 7/30/91; 5494297 MacNeil 2/27/96; 5048843 Dorfi et al 9/17/91

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

The drawing rejection (2) and 112 rejection are removed. Claim 58 is claim 1 without the limitation of curving back towards the plane.

The 102(a) rejection on claims 58-74 is removed as the parent case has a declaration that claims priority before the cited reference.

Applicant will modify claims 42-45 to avoid the referenced art. Applicant will review and comment on the noted additional art noted above as the existing claim language appears to read on this art.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

JEANETTE CHAPMAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

M. CHAMBERS
PATENT EXAMINER
ART UNIT 3711

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.